M. Anderson Berry (SBN 262879) ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED Superior Court of California, Gregory Haroutunian (SBN 3302623) County of San Diego CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, A 07/12/2023 at 04:57:35 PM PROFESSIONAL CORP. 3 Clerk of the Superior Court 865 Howe Avenue By Treat Cutts Deputy Clerk Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 239-4778 Facsimile: (916) 924-1829 Email: aberry@justice4you.com 6 Email: gharoutunian@justice4you.com JUL 2 8 2023 John J. Nelson (SBN 317598) Clerk of the Superior Court MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON By: K. Mulligan, Deputy PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 401 W Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (858) 209-6941 Email: inelson@milberg.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class 12 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO MICHAEL WILSON, a person lacking Case No. 37-2022-00046497-CU-MC-CTL 16 legal capacity, by MOSANTHONY WILSON, his conservator, on behalf of Assigned to the Hon. Keri Katz himself and all others similarly situated, Department 74 18 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL 19 Plaintiff, APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 20 Action Filed: November 16, 2022 v. 21 Date: July 28, 2023 22 MAXIM HEALTHCARE SERVICES, Time: 8:30 a.m. INC., a Maryland Corporation Dept.: D-74 23 Defendant. 24 25 26 27

28

WHEREAS, the Court, having considered the Settlement Agreement executed by the Settling Parties on February 2, 2023 (the "Settlement Agreement") between and among Plaintiff Michael Wilson, through his conservator Mosanthony Wilson ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class, and Defendant Maxim Healthcare Services, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Maxim") (collectively, the "Settling Parties"), the Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"), having held a Final Approval Hearing on July 28, 2023, having considered all of the submissions and arguments with respect to the Settlement Agreement, and otherwise being fully informed, and good cause appearing therefor:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUGED, AND DECREED:

- Class Representative's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Class Representative's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Expenses and Service Awards are GRANTED.
- 2. This Order incorporates herein and makes a part hereof the Settlement Agreement (including its exhibits) and the Preliminary Approval Order. Unless otherwise provided herein, the terms defined in the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order shall have the same meanings for purposes of this Order.
- 3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter including, without limitation, jurisdiction to approve the Settlement, confirm certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only, to settle and release all claims released in the Settlement Agreement, and to dismiss the Action with prejudice.

25 | ///

I.

7

5

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

II. NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

26 directed by the Court in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Court finds that such Notice: (i) was

7.

27

28

CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

4. Based on its review of the record, including the Settlement Agreement, all submissions in support of the Settlement Agreement, and all prior proceedings in the Action, the Court finally certifies the following Settlement Class for settlement purposes only:

> "All persons to whom Maxim Healthcare Services, Inc. sent a letter, dated November 4, 2021, entitled "Notice of Data Breach," regarding a data security incident that occurred between roughly October 1, 2020 through roughly December 4, 2020."

- 5. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (1) the Judges presiding over the Action and members of their families; (2) Defendant, its subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any Entity in which Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest, and its current or former officers and directors; (3) natural persons who properly execute and submit a Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline; (4) the successors or assigns of any such excluded natural person; and (5) any other person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding, or abetting the Data Breach or who pleads nolo contendere to any such charge.
- 6. For settlement purposes only, with respect to the Settlement Class, the Court confirms that the prerequisites for a class action pursuant to Cal. Code of Civil Proc. § 382 have been met, in that: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all individual Settlement Class Members in a single proceeding is impracticable; (b) questions of law and fact common to all Settlement Class Members predominate over any potential individual questions; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Class Representative and proposed Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represent the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is the superior method to fairly and efficiently adjudicate this controversy.

The Court finds that Notice has been given to the Settlement Class in the manner

reasonable and constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the Settlement Agreement including its release of Released Claims, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or object to all or any part of the Settlement Agreement, their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing (either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense), and the binding effect of final approval of the Settlement Agreement on all persons who do not exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; (iii) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) fully satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and any other applicable law.

III. FINAL APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

- 8. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement resulted from arm's-length negotiations between Class Counsel and Defendant.
- 9. The Court hereby finally approves in all respects the Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.
- 10. The Court finds that Class Representative and Class Counsel fairly and adequately represented the interests of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Settling Parties shall consummate the Settlement Agreement in accordance with the terms thereof. The Settlement Agreement, and each and every term and provision thereof, including its release, shall be deemed incorporated herein as if explicitly set forth herein and shall have the full force and effect of an order of this Court.

IV. RELEASE

12. Upon the Effective Date, each Settlement Class Member, including Class Representative, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims.

11

23

24

25

26

27

Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Plaintiff, each and all of the Settlement Class Members, and Plaintiff's counsel of all claims, including Unknown Claims, based upon or arising out of the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims, except for enforcement of the Settlement Agreement. Any other claims or defenses Maxim may have against Settlement Class Members including, without limitation, any claims based upon or arising out of any retail, banking, debtor, creditor, contractual, or other business relationship with such Settlement Class Members that are not based upon or do not arise out of the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims are specifically preserved and shall not be affected by the preceding sentence.

For purposes of this Order and Judgment, "Released Claims" collectively means any 14. and all past, present, and future claims and causes of action including, but not limited to, any causes of action arising under or premised upon any statute, constitution, law, ordinance, treaty, regulation, or common law of any country, state, province, county, city, or municipality, including 15 U.S.C. §§ 45 et seq., and all similar statutes in effect in any states in the United States as defined below; violations of the California Consumer Protection Act of 2018, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798, et seq., as amended; California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 56, et seq.; and all similar state privacy-protection statutes; negligence; negligence per se; breach of contract; breach of implied contract; breach of fiduciary duty; breach of confidence; invasion of privacy; fraud; misrepresentation (whether fraudulent, negligent or innocent); unjust enrichment; bailment; wantonness; failure to provide adequate notice pursuant to any breach notification statute or common law duty; and including, but not limited to, any and all claims for damages, injunctive relief, disgorgement, declaratory relief, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and expenses, pre-judgment interest, credit monitoring services, the creation of a fund for future damages, statutory damages, punitive damages, special damages, exemplary damages, restitution, and/or the appointment of a receiver, whether known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated, accrued or unaccrued, fixed or contingent, direct or derivative, and any other form of legal or equitable relief that either has been asserted, was asserted, or could have been asserted, by any member of the Settlement Class against

any of the Released Persons based on, relating to, concerning or arising out of the Data Incident and alleged access or misuse of PHI or PII or the allegations, transactions, occurrences, facts, or circumstances alleged in, or otherwise described in, the Litigation. Released Claims shall not include the right of any Settlement Class Member or any of the Released Persons to enforce the terms of the settlement contained in this Settlement Agreement and shall not include the claims of members of the Settlement Class Members who have timely excluded themselves from the Settlement Class.

15. Further, upon the Effective Date, and to the fullest extent permitted by law, each Settlement Class Member, including Class Representative, shall, either directly, indirectly, representatively, as a member of or on behalf of the general public or in any capacity, be permanently barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or participating in any recovery in any action in this or any other forum (other than participation in the Settlement Agreement as provided herein) in which any of the Released Claims is asserted.

V. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE'S SERVICE AWARD</u>

16. The Court awards attorneys' fees and expenses of \$ 195,000.00, and payment of a Service Award in the amount of \$2,500.00 to the Class Representative. The Court directs the Settlement Administrator to pay such amounts in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Class Counsel, in their sole discretion, shall allocate and distribute the amount of the Fee Award and Costs awarded by the Court among Plaintiff's counsel.

VI. OTHER PROVISIONS

- 17. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court retains continuing jurisdiction over the Settling Parties and the Settlement Class for the administration, consummation, and enforcement of the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. In the event the Effective Date does not occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated and, in such event, as provided in the Settlement Agreement, this Order and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be vacated and null and void, the Settling Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action, all of the Settling Parties' respective pre-

Settlement claims and defenses will be preserved, and the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Settling Parties and shall not be 3 used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, nunc pro tunc. IT IS SO ORDERED. JUL 2 8 2023 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT